

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,065	12/21/2000	Takahiro Kimoto	072982/0213	5469
22428	7590 06/29/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			LEE, RICHARD J	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2613	iλ
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/741,065	KIMOTO, TAKAHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard Lee	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	<u>April 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
**	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 61-66 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					



Art Unit: 2613

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 61-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murphy et al of record (5,745,169).

Murphy et al discloses a system for detecting errors in video images as shown in Figures 1-4, and the same video decoding apparatus and method and machine readable record medium storing a program for instructing an MPU to execute a video decoding process for decoding a coded video signal as claimed in claims 61-63, comprising the same receiving means (i.e., 15 of Figure 1) for receiving an input signal; determining means for generating a coded signal from the input signal and determining an error portion in the coded signal, which is comprised of a plurality of coded block data units (see column 2, lines 30-38, column 3, lines 14-59); decoding means (27 of Figure 1, and see column 3, line 14 to column 4, line 42) for decoding each of the plurality of coded block data units of the coded signal to generate decoded block data; calculating means for determining a plurality of decoded block data units to which the error portion corresponds (i.e., error detecting and concealing unit 29 of Figure 1 determines all decoded block data units with error portions, see column 3, line 45 to column 4, line 42); judging means for judging whether each of the plurality of decoded block data units is decoded normally or not (i.e., processing unit 49 calculates mean and variance of the coefficient values within each



Art Unit: 2613

block to determine/judge whether the block of interest contains errors, with the absence of errors indicating normal decoding of the block data units and the presence of errors indicating not normal decoding of block data units, see steps 66, 67, 70, 71 of Figure 4, column 4, line 5 to column 6, line 19); and error concealing means (i.e., steps 68, 72 of Figure 4, and see column 3, lines 45-59, column 4, line 5 to column 6, line 19) for error concealing the decoded block data units judged by the judging means if the decoded block data units are judged not to be decoded normally.

3. Claims 61-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lyu (6,654,500).

Lyu discloses an MPEG video decoding system and overflow processing method as shown in Figures 1 and 2, and the same video decoding apparatus and method and machine readable record medium storing a program for instructing an MPU to execute a video decoding process for decoding a coded video signal as claimed in claims 61-66, comprising the same receiving means (i.e., 11 of Figure 1) for receiving an input signal; determining means for generating a coded signal from the input signal and determining an error portion in the coded signal, which is comprised of a plurality of coded block data units (see column 1, lines 22-35, column 3, lines 6-59); dividing means (see column 1, lines 22-42) for dividing the coded signal into a plurality of coded block data units and for determining whether or not an error exists in each of the coded block data units based on the error portion in the coded signal as determined by the determining means (see column 3, lines 16-35, column 4, lines 16-59); decoding means (13 of Figure 1) for decoding each of the plurality of coded block data units of the coded signal to generate decoded block data/decoded image; calculating means for determining a plurality of



Art Unit: 2613

decoded block data units to which the error portion corresponds and for determining a region to which the error portion corresponds in the decoded image, with respect to each of a plurality of decoded block data units that were decoded from each of the plurality of coded block data units by the decoding means (i.e., error codes are inserted into the video bit stream sequence comprised of MPEG blocks, with the blocks representing the region and thereby determining the region to which the error portion corresponds in the decoded image with respect to each of a plurality of decoded block data units that were decoded from each of the plurality of coded block data units by the decoding means, see column 1, lines 22-42, column 4, lines 29-49); judging means for judging whether each of the plurality of decoded block data units/region is decoded normally or not (see column 4, lines 39-49); and error concealing means (see column 4, lines 50-59) for error concealing the decoded block data units/region judged by the judging means if the decoded block data units/region are judged not to be decoded normally.

- 4. Claims 1-60 are allowed.
- 5. The Examiner wants to point out that only pertinent arguments from the amendment filed April 16, 2004 will now be addressed.

Regarding the applicant's arguments at pages 28-29 of the amendment filed April 16, 2004 concerning in general that "... One important feature of the present invention according to claims 61-63 is to restrict a judged region of a decoded image based on pixel value distribution by using error detection code technology such as a Reed-Solomon code. In conventional systems such as the one described in Murphy, an error detection process based on pixel value distribution requires considerable computational complexity. In any event, claims 61-63 have been amended to recite that the coded signal is comprised of a plurality of coded block data



Art Unit: 2613

units, whereby the other elements of those claims have been amended in a similar manner.

Murphy does not teach or suggest such features, in combination with the already-recited features in those claims", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The applicant's attention is directed to column 2, lines 30-38 and column 3, lines 14-59 of Murphy wherein it is taught the particular block based video coding and decoding that is conventional under the MPEG standard.

Therefore, it is submitted that the plurality of coded block data units as claimed is considered met and anticipated by Murphy.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231



Art Unit: 2613

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE") (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-6612. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m, with alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Richard Lee/rl

6/25/04